Stationary and Axisymmetric Perfect-Fluid Solutions with Conformal Motion

Marc Mars* and José M. M. Senovilla* Departament de Física Fonamental, Universitat de Barcelona, Diagonal 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain.

February 7, 2008

Abstract

Stationary and axisymmetric perfect-fluid metrics are studied under the assumption of the existence of a conformal Killing vector field and in the general case of differential rotation. The possible Lie algebras for the conformal group and corresponding canonical line-elements are explicitly given. It turns out that only four different cases appear, the abelian and other three called I, II and III. We explicitly find all the solutions in the abelian and I cases. For the abelian case the general solution depends on an arbitrary function of a single variable and the perfect fluid satisfies the equation of state $\rho = p + \text{const.}$ This class of metrics is the one presented recently by one of us. The general solution for case I is a new Petrov type D metric, with the velocity vector outside the 2-space spanned by the two principal null directions and a barotropic equation of state $\rho + 3p = 0$. For the cases II and III, the general solution has been found only under the further assumption of a natural separation of variables Ansatz. The conformal Killing vectors in the solutions that come out here are, in fact, homothetic. No barotropic equation of state exists in these metrics unless for a new Petrov type D solution belonging to case II and with $\rho + 3p = 0$ which cannot be interpreted as an axially symmetric solution and such that the velocity vector points in the direction of one of the Killing vectors. This solution has the previously unknown curious property that both commuting Killing vectors are timelike everywhere.

^{*}Also at Laboratori de Física Matemàtica, IEC, Barcelona.

1 Introduction

This contribution deals with stationary and axisymmetric differentially rotating perfect-fluid solutions of the Einstein field equations admitting a conformal Killing vector field. In order to handle the non-linear partial differential Einstein equations, several special assumptions are usually made to simplify the problem: Petrov type, irrotational fluids, non-isometrical symmetries. One of these mathematical simplifications is the conformal symmetry. Conformal symmetries play an important role in the case of perfect-fluid solutions of the Einstein field equations in the stationary and axisymmetric case. In fact, among the very few known exact solutions under such conditions, two important families possess a conformal Killing vector: a family with rigid rotation and Petrov type D, depending on three parameters [1], and a large family depending on an arbitrary function with differential rotation and Petrov type D [2], which includes the previous family as its rigidly rotating limit, both found by one of us.

An attempt to classify all known exact solutions with a conformal symmetry has been recently done by J. Castejón-Amenedo & A.A. Coley [3] without restricting to any particular matter contents of the space-time. The general case of perfect-fluid stationary and axisymmetric exact solutions in the case of rigid rotation has been considered in the last few years in some papers by D. Kramer [4], [5] and D. Kramer & J. Carot [6]. The first paper is restricted to the case in which the conformal Killing vector commutes with both the two Killing vectors, or equivalently, due to the orthogonal transitivity of the space-time, to the case in which the conformal Killing is orthogonal to both the Killing vectors. It is found in this paper that the only exact solutions under these assumptions are the Schwarzschild interior solution which is static and conformally flat, a more symmetric solution belonging to Herlt's class and the general type D solution with the fluid vector lying in the two-plane generated by the two repeated null directions of the Weyl tensor [1]. The second paper by D. Kramer [5] considers the situation in which the commutation of the conformal Killing vector with each of the Killing vectors is an arbitrary linear combination of the Killings (without component in the conformal Killing vector itself). The main result in this paper is that no solution of the Einstein field equations for a perfect-fluid energy-momentum tensor exists under the assumptions above. Finally, in the third mentioned paper by D. Kramer & J. Carot [6] the remaining case in which at least one of the Lie derivatives of the conformal Killing vector along the Killing vectors has a non-vanishing component in the conformal Killing vector itself is studied. The result is again that no non-static solutions for perfect fluids exist under these hypotheses.

Our aim in this paper is to generalize these results on rigid rotation to the more general case of differentially rotating perfect fluids by finding out all the exact perfectfluid solutions arising when a conformal motion is added to the stationary and axial symmetry in the space-time. The amount of work that represents to consider the fourteen inequivalent three-dimensional Lie algebras arising when the orbits of one of the generators are closed is substantially restricted due to a recent result by the authors [7] which states that in an axially symmetric space-time (stationary or not) a conformal Killing vector must necessarily commute with the axial Killing vector whenever no more conformal symmetry exists in the space-time. This result is purely geometric and does not depend on orthogonal transitivity or any matter contents of the space-time. Using this result, it turns out that only four inequivalent conformal Lie algebras are allowed, the abelian case and three other cases called in this paper I, II and III.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In the second section the four different allowed Lie algebras are established and the canonical forms of the metric, as well as the explicit form of the conformal Killing vector in these coordinates are given in each of the four cases. The Einstein field equations for a perfect-fluid energy-momentum tensor involving only the components of the Einstein tensor are also written down in this section.

In the third section the abelian case is exhausted. The main result in this section is that the general solution of a differentially rotating perfect fluid (including rigid rotation as a limit case) with a conformal motion is, apart from the Schwarzschild interior solution, the type D solution with an arbitrary function depending on a single variable and equation of state $\rho = p + \text{const}$ recently presented by one of us [2]. This solution was found under completely different hypotheses involving mainly some conditions on the Weyl tensor and it turned out to have a conformal motion.

The fourth section is devoted to the case I and again the general solution in this case is found. Besides some static solutions we do not explicitly consider and the Schwarzschild interior solution, the general solution in this case is given by a new differentially rotating type D metric with the velocity vector outside the 2-space spanned by the two principal null directions and barotropic equation of state $\rho + 3p = 0$. Its rigid rotation limit is static (as it must be because no solutions in the rigidly rotating case were found in the papers mentioned above).

In the fifth section the case II is considered. In this case, however, the general solution is found only under the additional assumption of a separation of variables Ansatz which is strongly indicated by the two previous sections. The general solution under this condition is, in fact, homothetic and has no barotropic equation of state unless for a new type D solution with $\rho + 3p = 0$. This solution cannot be interpreted as an axially symmetric space-time and the fluid velocity vector is proportional to one of the Killing vectors (so that the solution is in some sense rigid) but it is included here because it shows the interesting feature that both Killing vectors are timelike everywhere (obviously, at any point in the space-time there exists a linear combination of them which is spacelike, but this cannot be done globally). This solution was previously unknown, even though it belongs to a "rigid" case which was extensively treated in [5].

Finally, in the sixth section the remaining case III is treated. As in the previous section, the general solution in this case is found only under the separation of variables

Ansatz. The general solution turns out to be again homothetic and no particular case of them has a barotropic equation of state.

2 Canonical Forms of the Metric

A recent result due to the authors [7] states that the mere existence of a regular symmetry axis for the axial symmetry restricts severely the Bianchi type of the three-dimensional Lie algebra generated by the Killing vector fields and the conformal Killing vector field. In fact, it is proven that the axial Killing vector $\vec{\eta}$ must commute with both the timelike Killing $\vec{\xi}$ and the conformal Killing vector \vec{k} . So we have necessarily

$$\left[\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}\right] = \vec{0}, \qquad \left[\vec{k}, \vec{\eta}\right] = \vec{0},$$

while the commutation relation between the timelike Killing and the conformal Killing is an arbitrary linear combination of $\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}$ and \vec{k} with constant coefficients.

It can be easily seen that only four non-isomorphic Lie algebras are possible under these conditions. They can be written as

Abelian Case
$$\begin{bmatrix} \vec{\xi}, \vec{k} \end{bmatrix} = \vec{0},$$
Case I
$$\begin{bmatrix} \vec{\xi}, \vec{k} \end{bmatrix} = b\vec{k},$$
 (1)
Case II
$$\begin{bmatrix} \vec{\xi}, \vec{k} \end{bmatrix} = b\vec{\xi},$$
Case III
$$\begin{bmatrix} \vec{\xi}, \vec{k} \end{bmatrix} = b\vec{\eta},$$

where b is an arbitrary non-vanishing constant, which can still be set equal to one. However, this constant has dimensions and we will not fix it to any specified value.

Since the two Killing vector fields commute, there always exist coordinates t, ϕ outside the axis of symmetry such that these vector fields are written as $\vec{\xi} = \partial_t$ and $\vec{\eta} = \partial_{\phi}$. Moreover, we are interested in non-convective rotating perfect fluids and then there also exist coordinates x and y in which the metric line-element decomposes into two orthogonal blocks (theorem of Papapetrou). For an account of the above results and definitions, see for instance [8]. We can always diagonalise the x, y block and write the metric in these coordinates as

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{\Psi^{2}(x,y)} \left[-F(x,y) \left(dt + P(x,y) d\phi \right)^{2} + \frac{Q^{2}(x,y)}{F(x,y)} d\phi^{2} + dx^{2} + dy^{2} \right],$$

where F(x,y) must be a positive function. Until now we have not restricted this metric to have a conformal symmetry. Imposing the conformal Killing equations

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{k}}g_{\alpha\beta} = \nabla_{\alpha}k_{\beta} + \nabla_{\beta}k_{\alpha} = 2\Phi g_{\alpha\beta}$$

in the coordinates $\{t, \phi, x, y\}$, we can restrict the form of the line-element to the following four forms depending on the Bianchi type of the Lie algebra.

Abelian Case

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{\Psi^{2}(x,y)} \left[-F(x) \left(dt + P(x) d\phi \right)^{2} + \frac{Q^{2}(x)}{F(x)} d\phi^{2} + dx^{2} + dy^{2} \right], \tag{2}$$

where Ψ is the only function which depends on y. The conformal Killing vector of this metric is given by

$$\vec{k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Case I

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{\Psi^{2}(x,y)} \left[-b^{2}M^{2}(y)dt^{2} + L^{2}(x) \left(d\phi + bN(y)dt \right)^{2} + dx^{2} + dy^{2} \right], \tag{3}$$

(see [6]) where the functions M(y) and N(y) are known because they satisfy the following trivially integrable ordinary differential equations

$$\dot{M}^2 = 1 + \alpha M^2, \qquad \dot{N} = \omega M, \tag{4}$$

where α and ω are arbitrary constants and the dot means derivative with respect to the variable y. Therefore, in this case I, only the two functions Ψ and L remain to be determined in order to specify the metric completely. The conformal Killing vector is

$$\vec{k} = e^{bt} \left(-\frac{1}{b} \frac{\dot{M}}{M} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \left(N \frac{\dot{M}}{M} - \omega M \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right).$$

Case II

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{\Psi^{2}(x,y)} \left[-F(x) \left(e^{-by} dt + P(x) d\phi \right)^{2} + \frac{Q^{2}(x)}{F(x)} d\phi^{2} + dx^{2} + dy^{2} \right].$$
 (5)

So, in this case the dependence of the functions on the variable y is completely determined by the conformal Killing equations except for the global conformal factor of the metric $\Psi(x,y)$. The conformal Killing vector is in this case

$$\vec{k} = bt \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Case III

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{\Psi^{2}(x,y)} \left[-F(x)dt^{2} + \frac{Q^{2}(x)}{F(x)} \left(d\phi + (P(x) - by) dt \right)^{2} + dx^{2} + dy^{2} \right], \tag{6}$$

where again, the explicit dependence on y is explicitly known except for the function $\Psi(x,y)$. The conformal Killing vector reads now

$$\vec{k} = bt \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

In each of the four cases, the relationship between the scale factor of the conformal Killing equations Φ , and the global conformal factor of the metric Ψ , is given by

$$\Phi = -e^{a_1 t} \frac{\partial_y \Psi}{\Psi},$$

where $a_1 = b$ in the case I and vanishes for all the remaining cases. As a consequence of this equation, the dependence of the function $\Psi(x, y)$ on the variable y must be non-trivial because, otherwise, we would have more proper isometries than the initially considered.

We are interested in non-convective perfect-fluid solutions of the Einstein field equations in the general case of differential rotation. The energy-momentum tensor is then

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = (\rho + p) u_{\alpha} u_{\beta} + p g_{\alpha\beta},$$

where ρ stands for the energy density, p for the pressure of the fluid and the fluid vector \vec{u} lies in the two-plane generated by the two Killing vector fields $\vec{\xi}$ and $\vec{\eta}$. In consequence, the fluid one-form is a linear combination of the coordinate forms dt and $d\phi$ at each point of the space-time.

We will write the Einstein field equations in orthonormal tetrads $\{\theta^{\alpha}\}$ chosen in such a way that the fluid one-form u always lies on the two-plane spanned by θ^{0} and θ^{1} in each point. Therefore, we have

$$u = u_0 \theta^0 + u_1 \theta^1, u_0^2 - u_1^2 = 1,$$

and the Einstein field equations, in units where $c = 8\pi G = 1$, read

$$S_{00} = (\rho + p) u_0^2 - p,$$

$$S_{01} = (\rho + p) u_0 u_1,$$

$$S_{11} = (\rho + p) u_1^2 + p$$

$$S_{22} = S_{33} = p,$$

$$S_{01} = S_{02} = S_{03} = S_{12} = S_{13} = S_{23} = 0,$$

where $S_{\alpha\beta}$ stands for the Einstein tensor in the $\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\alpha}\}$ cobasis.

These equations can be rewritten in terms of only the Einstein tensor, while the calculation of ρ , p and \vec{u} is performed once these equations are solved. The only non-trivially satisfied equations are

$$(S_{00} + S_{22})(S_{11} - S_{22}) - S_{01}^2 = 0, (7)$$

$$S_{22} - S_{33} = 0, (8)$$

$$S_{23} = 0.$$
 (9)

3 Abelian Case

The orthonormal tetrad adapted to the form of the metric in this case (2) is given by

$$oldsymbol{ heta^0} = rac{1}{\Psi}\sqrt{F}\left(oldsymbol{dt} + Poldsymbol{d\phi}
ight), \quad oldsymbol{ heta^1} = rac{1}{\Psi}rac{Q}{\sqrt{F}}oldsymbol{d\phi}, \quad oldsymbol{ heta^2} = rac{1}{\Psi}oldsymbol{dx}, \quad oldsymbol{ heta^3} = rac{1}{\Psi}oldsymbol{dy}.$$

The equation $S_{23} = 0$ reads

$$\Psi_{,xy} = 0,$$

where the comma means partial derivative. This equation immediately gives

$$\Psi(x, y) = h(y) + q(x).$$

The equation $S_{22} - S_{33} = 0$ takes the following form after dropping a global factor Ψ

$$[h(y) + g(x)] W(x) + 2 (\ddot{h}(y) - g''(x)) = 0,$$

where W(x) is an expression depending only on functions of x (given below in (11)) and the prime denotes ordinary derivative with respect to the variable x. Due to the fact that the function h(y) cannot be a constant, it follows from this equation the following three relations, which in particular give us the explicit form of the functions h(y) and g(x)

$$\ddot{h}(y) = \epsilon a^2 h(y) + c, \qquad g''(x) = -\epsilon a^2 g(x) + c, \tag{10}$$

$$W(x) \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \frac{F^2}{Q^2} P'^2 + \frac{Q''}{Q} - \frac{F'}{F} \frac{Q'}{Q} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{F'^2}{F^2} = -2\epsilon a^2, \tag{11}$$

where ϵ is a sign and a and c are arbitrary constants. In the case that the constant a is non-vanishing, the constant c can be set equal to zero by adding to g(x) and h(y) appropriate constants. In fact, we have

$$\left(g - \frac{c}{\epsilon a^2}\right)'' = -\epsilon a^2 \left(g - \frac{c}{\epsilon a^2}\right), \qquad \left(h + \frac{c}{\epsilon a^2}\right) = \epsilon a^2 \left(h + \frac{c}{\epsilon a^2}\right),$$

and therefore, renaming

$$g - \frac{c}{\epsilon a^2} \to g,$$
 $h + \frac{c}{\epsilon a^2} \to h,$

we still have $\Psi(x,y) = g(x) + h(y)$ and the new functions g and h satisfy (10) with c = 0. The remaining Einstein equation (7) takes the following form

$$\Sigma_1(x)\Psi^2(x,y) + \Sigma_2(x)\Psi(x,y) + \Sigma_3(x) = 0,$$

where, as before, Σ_i are expressions depending only on functions of x. From this equation it follows that each Σ_i must vanish. After some calculation involving the equation (11), the resulting three equations can be rewritten as

$$\left(\frac{FP''}{Q} - \frac{FP'Q'}{Q^2} + \frac{2F'P'}{Q}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{F''}{F} - \frac{F'Q'}{FQ}\right) \left(8\epsilon a^2 + 2\frac{Q''}{Q} + \frac{F''}{F} - \frac{F'Q'}{FQ}\right) = 0, (12)$$

$$-2g''\left(\frac{Q''}{Q} + 4\epsilon a^2\right) + g'\left(\frac{Q'''}{Q} + \frac{Q''Q'}{Q^2} + 8\epsilon a^2\frac{Q'}{Q}\right) = 0, (13)$$

$$-2g''^2 + 2g'g''\frac{Q'}{Q} - g'^2\left(\frac{Q''}{Q} + 2\epsilon a^2\right) = 0. (14)$$

At this point, we must distinguish between two cases depending on whether $g' \neq 0$ or not.

Case $g' \neq 0$

The last two equations (13), (14) show that the function Q(x) must satisfy two different differential equations. By adding the derivative of equation (14) to (13) multiplied by g' we find a differential relation for Q(x) containing derivatives of this function up to the second order. We can now use equation (14) again in order to find an expression containing only first derivatives of the function Q(x). This expression is a second order polinomial in Q'(x) and reads explicitly, after factorization

$$\left(g'\frac{Q'}{Q} - 2g''\right)\left(g'g''\frac{Q'}{Q} + \epsilon a^2 g'^2 - g''^2\right) = 0.$$

In consequence, two different possibilities arise from this equation, namely

a1)
$$g'\frac{Q'}{Q} - 2g'' = 0 \iff Q = Q_0 g'^2$$
a2)
$$g'g''\frac{Q'}{Q} - g''^2 - \epsilon a^2 g'^2 = 0$$

where Q_0 is a constant of integration.

Subcase a1)

Let us first analyse the subcase a1) where $Q = Q_0 g'^2$. It can be trivially checked that both equations (13) (14) are identically satisfied and there only remain the differential equations (11) and (12) to be solved. From the explicit expression for Q it follows that it satisfies

$$\frac{Q''}{Q} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q'^2}{Q^2} - 2\epsilon a^2.$$

Using this expression, equation (11) gives

$$P'^2 \frac{F^2}{Q^2} = \left(\frac{F'}{F} - \frac{Q'}{Q}\right)^2,$$

which can be easily integrated to give $P = -\sigma \frac{Q}{F} + \nu$, where σ is a sign and ν is an integration constant. Substituting Q and P into the remaining equation (12) gives $\left(\frac{g''^2}{g'^2} + \epsilon a^2\right)^2 = 0$ from what it follows that $\epsilon = -1$ and g'' = ag'. In the particular case when a = 0 we have that g' is a constant and in consequence c = 0. Redefining $Q_0 g'^2 \to Q_0$, the solution is given by

$$P = -\sigma \frac{Q}{F} + \nu, \qquad g'' = 0, \qquad Q = Q_0, \qquad \ddot{h} = 0,$$

while when the constant a is non vanishing we have that g satisfies g' = ag and therefore we have $Q = a^2 Q_0 g^2$. Redefining again Q_0 the solution is

$$P = -\sigma \frac{Q}{F} + \nu, \qquad g' = ag, \qquad Q = Q_0 g^2, \qquad \ddot{h} = -a^2 h^2.$$
 (15)

In both cases F is an arbitrary function of the variable x. These solutions, however, are not perfect-fluid solutions of Einstein's field equations because their Einstein's tensors do not have any timelike eigenvector. This type of solutions can arise because in the quadratic equation (7) some non-perfect fluid solutions are included.

Subcase a2)

Let us now look at the subcase in which

$$g'g''\frac{Q'}{Q} - g''^2 + \epsilon a^2 g'^2 = 0. {16}$$

In this case, equation (14) gives after dropping a factor g^2

$$\frac{Q''}{Q} + 4\epsilon a^2 = 0,$$

which simplifies notably the equation (12) to give

$$\left(\frac{F^2P'}{Q}\right)' = \sigma\left(F'' - F'\frac{Q'}{Q}\right),\,$$

where σ is a sign. Using this expression, the derivative of the expression (11) takes the form

$$\left(F'' - F'\frac{Q'}{Q}\right)\left(\sigma\frac{F^2P'}{Q} + F\frac{Q'}{Q} - F'\right) = 0.$$

It can be easily seen that the solution which is found when the second expression in round brackets vanishes is exactly (15), so we need to consider only the other case in which

$$F' = \alpha Q, \qquad \frac{F^2 P'}{Q} = \beta$$

where α and β are constants. Equation (11) becomes

$$\beta^2 - \alpha^2 Q^2 + 2\alpha F Q' + 4\epsilon a^2 F^2 = 0 \tag{17}$$

and is now, despite its appearance, an algebraic relation between the integration constants of the equations. In order to satisfy the two remaining equations (13) and (14), we must distinguish between two cases depending on whether g'' vanishes or not. If g'' = 0 we have that the constants a and c vanish. The condition (16) is identically satisfied, while equations (13) and (14) give only Q'' = 0. The solution is therefore

$$g'' = 0,$$
 $\ddot{h} = 0,$ $Q'' = 0,$ $F' = \alpha Q,$ $\frac{F^2 P'}{Q} = \beta,$

with the constants restricted to satisfy the relation (17) with a = 0.

When g'' is not vanishing, the condition a2) can be integrated to give

$$Q = Q_0 g' g'',$$

where Q_0 is an integration constant. Using this expression for Q it is immediate to see that the equations (13) (14) are identically satisfied and then the solution is given by

$$g'' = -\epsilon a^2 g,$$
 $\ddot{h} = \epsilon a^2 h,$ $Q = Q_0 g' g'',$ $F' = \alpha Q,$ $\frac{F^2 P'}{Q} = \beta,$

where the constants are restricted, as before, to satisfy the algebraic relation (17).

These two solutions are seen to be conformally flat and therefore, they both are the Schwarzschild interior solution with constant density [9].

Case g'=0.

In the case when g' = 0, the constant g can be set equal to zero by adding it to the function h. Thus, equations (13) and (14) are trivially satisfied and there only remain two differential equations to be satisfied by the three functions F, Q and P. In consequence we have a general family of differentially rotating perfect-fluid solutions which depend on an arbitrary function. Performing the change of functions and variables given by

$$F = m,$$
 $P = \frac{s}{m},$ $Q^2 = hm + s^2,$ $dx = \frac{d\tilde{x}}{\sqrt{hm + s^2}},$

the field equations (11),(12) become

$$\ddot{s}^2 + \ddot{h}\ddot{m} = 0,$$

$$(hm + s^2) + 4\epsilon a^2 = \dot{s}^2 + \dot{h}\dot{m},$$

where the dot means, only in these two equations, derivative with respect to \tilde{x} . This family of solutions is a differentially rotating generalization of a rigid solution due to one of us [1] and was found by the same author [2] as the more general stationary and axisymmetric non-convective and differentially rotating perfect-fluid solution satisfying the following assumptions:

- (i) Petrov type D,
- (ii) The velocity vector lies in the two-plane spanned by the two repeated principal null directions of the Weyl tensor.
- (iii) The Weyl tensor has vanishing magnetic part with respect to the fluid velocity vector.

This family of solutions has equation of state $p = \rho + \text{const.}$ and belong to the case D1DR in the classification scheme of [8]. We have therefore proven that this family of solutions, together with the Schwarzschild interior solution are the only stationary axisymmetric non-convective perfect-fluid solutions which admit a three-dimensional abelian conformal group with one proper conformal Killing vector.

4 Case I

In this case the line-element can be cast into the form (3) from which the following orthonormal tetrad can be read

$$m{ heta^0} = rac{1}{\Psi}bMm{dt}, \quad m{ heta^1} = rac{1}{\Psi}L\left(m{d\phi} + bNm{dt}
ight), \quad m{ heta^2} = rac{1}{\Psi}m{dx}, \quad m{ heta^3} = rac{1}{\Psi}m{dy}.$$

Two of the Einstein field equations read in this case

$$\begin{split} S_{23} &= 2\Psi \Psi_{,xy} = 0, \\ S_{22} - S_{33} &= -\Psi \left(\frac{L''}{L} \Psi + 2\Psi_{,yy} - 2\Psi_{,xx} - \alpha \Psi + \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 L^2 \Psi \right) = 0. \end{split}$$

So, as in the previous case, the function Ψ splits into the sum of a function of x and a function of y

$$\Psi(x,y) = g(x) + h(y),$$

which are explicitly known because they are the solutions of the ordinary differential equations

$$g'' = -\epsilon a^2 g + c,$$
 $\ddot{h} = \epsilon a^2 h + c,$

while the function L(x) satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{L''}{L} - \alpha + 2\epsilon a^2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 L^2 = 0.$$

Using these relations, the remaining field equation (7) is

$$\left(-2\frac{\dot{M}}{M}\dot{h} + 2\ddot{h}\right) \left(2\frac{L'}{L}g' - 2g'' - \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 L^2 g - \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 L^2 h\right) - \dot{h}^2\omega^2 L^2 = 0.$$
(18)

In the case that the constant ω vanishes, the function N is a constant that can be set equal to zero by redefining the axial variable. In consequence, it is obvious from the form of the metric that these solutions are static, and we are not interested in them in this paper. Thus, we can restrict ourselves to the case $\omega \neq 0$. Equation (18) can be seen completely equivalent to the two following ordinary differential equations

$$2\frac{L'}{L}g' - 2g'' - \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 L^2 g - \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 \nu L^2 = 0,$$
(19)

$$\left(-\frac{\dot{M}}{M}\dot{h} + \ddot{h}\right)(h-\nu) + \dot{h}^2 = 0, \tag{20}$$

where ν is a constant of separation of variables. It is convenient to define a new function H(y) as $H \equiv h - \nu$, which clearly satisfies the same differential equation as h(y) with the constant c replaced by $c + \epsilon a^2 \nu$. This equation implies

$$\dot{H}^2 = \epsilon a^2 H^2 + 2 \left(\epsilon a^2 \nu + c \right) H + H_0, \tag{21}$$

where H_0 is a constant. In terms of this function, equation (20) can be integrated to give

$$M = k_0 H \dot{H}, \tag{22}$$

where k_0 is the constant of integration.

Using relation (21), it can be seen that the differential equation $\dot{M}^2 = 1 + \alpha M^2$ is satisfied by the function (22) if and only if

$$\alpha = 4\epsilon a^2, \qquad \epsilon a^2 \nu + c = 0, \qquad k_0^2 H_0^2 = 1.$$

Therefore, we can define a function G(x) by $G \equiv g + \nu$, so that $\Psi = G + H$ and G is the solution of the equation

$$G'' = -\epsilon a^2 G. (23)$$

The field equations are written in terms of G as

$$L'G' + LG\left(\epsilon a^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{4}L^2\right) = 0, (24)$$

$$L'' + 2L\left(\frac{\omega^2}{4}L^2 - \epsilon a^2\right) = 0. \tag{25}$$

From these two equations it follows immediately the relation

$$2L'G' + GL'' = 0 \iff (L'G^2) = 0 \iff L'G^2 = \delta,$$

where δ is constant. We must distinguish three different possibilities.

First of all let us consider the case when the constant δ vanishes but $G \neq 0$, so that L is a constant fixed by equations (24) and (25): $L^2 = \frac{4\epsilon a^2}{\omega^2}$. Consequently, we must have $\epsilon = 1$ and the solution is given by

$$L = \frac{2a}{\omega}, \qquad \dot{H}^2 = a^2 H^2 + H_0, \qquad G'^2 = -a^2 G^2 + G_0, \qquad M = \left| \frac{H\dot{H}}{H_0} \right|.$$

Under these conditions the metric (3) becomes conformally flat and therefore this solution is again Schwarzschild interior.

In the second case, when $\delta \neq 0$, we have $G = \sigma \sqrt{\frac{L'}{\delta}}$, where σ is a sign. Equation (23) for G reads now, in terms of L

$$\frac{2L'''}{L'} - \frac{L''^2}{L'^2} + 4\epsilon a^2 = 0,$$

which can be seen incompatible with (25) unless a = 0 and $\omega = 0$, against hipotheses. Thus, no solutions exist in this subcase.

It only remains the study of the third case, when G = 0. In this situation, the function L satisfies only the differential equation (25) which has a first integral

$$L'^{2} - 2\epsilon a^{2}L^{2} + \frac{\omega^{2}}{4}L^{4} - L_{0} = 0,$$

where L_0 is a constant of integration. From (4) and (22) we obtain the function N

$$N = \frac{1}{2}\omega k_0 H^2 + N_0,$$

where N_0 is a constant of integration. This constant can be set equal to zero redefining the axial coordinate by

$$\phi + bN_0t \to \phi, \tag{26}$$

which does not change the form of the axial Killing vector field $(\vec{\eta} = \partial_{\phi})$. Recalling that $\frac{M^2}{H^2} = k_0^2 \dot{H}^2$, defining a new constant $\beta = bk_0$, redefining $\frac{\omega}{2} \to \omega$, renaming L to X and performing the change of variables

$$dx = \frac{dX}{\sqrt{2\epsilon a^2 X^2 - \omega^2 X^4 + L_0}},$$

the metric (3) is written finally as

$$ds^{2} = -\beta^{2} \dot{H}^{2} dt^{2} + X^{2} \left(\frac{1}{H} d\phi + \beta \omega H dt \right)^{2} + \frac{dX^{2}}{H^{2} \left(2\epsilon a^{2} X^{2} - \omega^{2} X^{4} + L_{0} \right)} + \frac{dy^{2}}{H^{2}}, \quad (27)$$

where H(y) is explicitly known because is the solution of

$$\ddot{H} = \epsilon a^2 H$$
.

The fluid velocity vector is given by

$$\vec{u} = \frac{1}{\beta \sqrt{\dot{H}^2 - H^2 \omega^2 X^2}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - 2\beta \omega H^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right)$$

and therefore this solution is a differentially rotating perfect-fluid solution with the rotation Ω given by

$$\Omega = -2\beta\omega H^2.$$

The pressure and energy density of this solution are given by

$$p = \dot{H}^2 - H^2 \omega^2 X^2, \qquad \rho = -3 \left(\dot{H}^2 - H^2 \omega^2 X^2 \right),$$

so that they are related by the equation of state $\rho + 3p = 0$. Unluckily, no known matter is described by such an equation of state.

This solution is Petrov type D and its principal null directions are given by

$$l_1 = \beta \dot{H} dt + \frac{1}{H} dy,$$
 $l_2 = \beta \dot{H} dt - \frac{1}{H} dy.$

We see that the fluid velocity vector does not lie in the two-plane generated by the two principal null directions and thus, this solution belongs to the class D2DR in the classification scheme of [8]. As far as we know, this is the first solution found in that case. It has a regular symmetry axis provided that $L_0 = 1$ and its static limit can be trivially obtained by setting $\omega = 0$. We conclude that this solution is, apart from static cases, the only stationary and axisymmetric non-convective perfect-fluid solution with a three-dimensional (proper) conformal group with Lie algebra given by case I in (1).

5 Case II

To write the metric (5) corresponding to this case we choose the orthonormal cobasis given by

$$m{ heta^0} = rac{1}{\Psi} \sqrt{F} \left(e^{-by} m{dt} + P m{d\phi}
ight), \quad m{ heta^1} = rac{1}{\Psi} rac{Q}{\sqrt{F}} m{d\phi}, \quad m{ heta^2} = rac{1}{\Psi} m{dx}, \quad m{ heta^3} = rac{1}{\Psi} m{dy}.$$

We will not consider the situation when the function P(x) vanishes because this corresponds to the well-known static case. The Einstein equations (9) and (8) are, respectively

$$b\Psi\left(\frac{1}{4}\frac{P'}{P}\frac{P^2F^2}{Q^2} + \frac{1}{4}\frac{F'}{F}\right) + \Psi_{,xy} = 0,$$
(28)

$$\Psi\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{Q''}{Q} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{Q'F'}{QF} + \frac{1}{4}\frac{P'^2}{P^2}\frac{F^2P^2}{Q^2} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{F'^2}{F^2} + \frac{b^2}{2} - \frac{b^2}{4}\frac{P^2F^2}{Q^2}\right) + \Psi_{,xx} - \Psi_{,yy} = 0. \quad (29)$$

These two partial differential equations have the structure

$$\Psi_{.xy} = H(x)\Psi,$$
 $\Psi_{.yy} - \Psi_{.xx} = G(x)\Psi,$

where H(x) and G(x) stand for the expressions in brackets in (28) and (29) respectively. The next step now would be to find the general solution of this pair of partial differential equations for the function $\Psi(x,y)$, but this is not a trivial task due mainly to the two facts that they are not ordinary but partial differential equations and that H(x) and G(x) are not explicit functions of x but some differential relations between the unknowns F(x), P(x) and Q(x). Therefore, in this paper we will restrict ourselves to a particular solution of these equations. The Ansatz is suggested by the previous cases and it consists in the separation of $\Psi(x,y)$ as a sum of a function of x and a function of y. That is, we will assume in this section the restrictive Ansatz given by

$$\Psi(x,y) = g(x) + h(y). \tag{30}$$

The first partial differential equation (28) is now simply

$$\frac{P'}{P}\frac{P^2F^2}{Q^2} + \frac{F'}{F} = 0. {31}$$

The second equation (29) implies on the one hand the usual relations which determine the functions g(x) and h(y)

$$g'' = -\epsilon a^2 g + c, \qquad \ddot{h} = \epsilon a^2 + c, \qquad (32)$$

and on the other hand the ordinary differential equation

$$-\frac{1}{2}\frac{Q''}{Q} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{Q'F'}{QF} + \frac{1}{4}\frac{P'^2}{P^2}\frac{F^2P^2}{Q^2} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{F'^2}{F^2} + \frac{b^2}{2} - \frac{b^2}{4}\frac{P^2F^2}{Q^2} - \epsilon a^2 = 0.$$
 (33)

Substituting the expression for F' given in (31) into the relevant components of Einstein's tensor, we find

$$S_{01} = -\Psi \frac{PF}{Q} \left(g' \frac{P'}{P} + (g+h) Q(x) + b\dot{h} \right),$$

$$S_{00} + S_{33} = \Psi \left(\frac{P^2 F^2}{Q^2} \left[g' \frac{P'}{P} + (g+h) R(x) \right] + 2\ddot{h} + 2b\dot{h} \right),$$

$$S_{11} - S_{22} = \Psi \left(A(x)g + B(x) + A(x)h \right),$$

where R(x), A(x) and B(x) stand for the following expressions

$$R(x) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q'P'}{QP} + \frac{P'^2}{P^2} \frac{P^2F^2}{Q^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{P''}{P} + b^2,$$

$$A(x) \equiv \frac{P^2F^2}{Q^2} \left(\frac{3}{2} \frac{Q'P'}{QP} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{P''}{P} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{P'^2}{P^2} \frac{P^2F^2}{Q^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{P'^2}{P^2} + \frac{1}{2} b^2 \right),$$

$$B(x) \equiv 2g' \frac{Q'}{Q} + g' \frac{P'}{P} \frac{P^2F^2}{Q^2} - 2g''.$$

Then, the quadratic Einstein equation (7) takes the following form, after dropping a global factor Ψ ,

$$\Sigma_1(x)h^2 + \Sigma_2(x)h + \Sigma_3(x) + \Sigma_4(x)h\dot{h} + \Sigma_5(x)\dot{h} = 0, \tag{34}$$

where we have used the relation

$$\dot{h}^2 = \epsilon a^2 h^2 + 2ch + h_0,$$

which is a consequence of the differential equation (32) for h(y) and where h_0 is a constant. It is not difficult to see that equation (34) splits into the following three subcases:

- **b1)** When $\ddot{h} = c$ with c non-vanishing or when $a \neq 0$ and $h_0 \neq 0$.
- **b2**) When $\dot{h} = \alpha$ is a constant.
- **b3)** When h = ah with a non-vanishing.

Subcase b1)

In this case equation (34) can be seen equivalent to

$$\Sigma_1(x) = 0,$$
 $\Sigma_2(x) = 0,$ $\Sigma_3(x) = 0,$ $\Sigma_4(x) = 0,$ $\Sigma_5(x) = 0.$

The expression $\Sigma_5 - g\Sigma_4$ reads

$$g'\frac{Q'}{Q} - g'' = 0, (35)$$

which is identically satisfied if the function g is a constant. But we know that, in this case, this constant could be absorved into the function h(y), so that we would have g = 0 and then also $\Sigma_3(x) \equiv -\frac{P^2F^2}{Q^2}b^2h_0 = 0$. But the constant c must vanish due to the differential equation that the function g had to satisfy, so this equation would be incompatible with the hypothesis that h_0 is non-vanishing. We must therefore assume that $g' \neq 0$. From (35) immediately follows $Q(x) = \beta g'$ where β is a constant and, as a consequence, we have $Q'' = -\epsilon a^2Q$. Using this formula, equation (33) together with the expression for $\Sigma_4(x)$ gives $\frac{P^2F^2}{Q^2} = 1 - \frac{\epsilon a^2}{b^2}$ from where it is very easy to see that no solution of the system of differential equations exists in this subcase.

Subcase b2)

Here we have $\dot{h} = \alpha$ and equation (34) splits into the three relations

$$\Sigma_1(x) = 0,$$
 $\Sigma_2(x) + \alpha \Sigma_4(x) = 0,$ $\Sigma_3(x) + \alpha \Sigma_5(x) = 0.$

Using the fact that now the constants a and c vanish it is easily found that these three equations are, respectively

$$\Sigma_{1} = \frac{P^{2}F^{2}}{Q^{2}}R(A - R) = 0,$$

$$A\left(\frac{P^{2}F^{2}}{Q^{2}}\frac{P'}{P}g' + 2b\alpha\right) + \frac{P^{2}F^{2}}{Q^{2}}R\left(B - 2b\alpha - 2\frac{P'}{P}g'\right) = 0,$$

$$B\left(\frac{P^{2}F^{2}}{Q^{2}}\frac{P'}{P}g' + 2b\alpha\right) - \frac{P^{2}F^{2}}{Q^{2}}\left(\frac{P'}{P}g' + b\alpha\right)^{2} = 0.$$
(36)

From the form of Σ_1 we know that two different possibilities arise depending on which factor vanishes. When the first factor vanishes but the second is different from zero it is straightforward to see from the form of the other two equations that

$$\frac{P^2F^2}{Q^2} = 2\tag{37}$$

which simplifies greatly the system of partial differential equations and allows us to see easily that no solutions exist. When the second factor in Σ_1 vanishes but the first one is not zero, it can be deduced the same relation (37) from the other two equations again. This fact simplifies substantially the differential equations we are considering and the general solution of Einstein field equations in this case is given by

$$P' = \delta P, \qquad Q' = -\delta Q, \qquad F = \sqrt{2} \frac{Q}{P}, \qquad \dot{h} = \alpha, \qquad g' = -b \frac{\alpha}{\delta},$$

where δ is an arbitrary non-vanishing constant. It can be seen that this solution possesses a four-dimensional group of symmetries acting multiply transitively on three-dimensional timelike hypersurfaces and therefore they have much more symmetry than the initially considered.

Finally, in order to exhaust this subcase it remains to consider the possibility when both factors in Σ_1 vanish. In this case it cannot be found a relation of the type (37) and the situation is more complicated. Therefore, in this case, the three functions Q(x), P(x) and F(x) must satisfy the five differential equations given by R(x) = 0, A(x) = 0, (31), (33) and (36). It is much more difficult to see that this system of differential equations is incompatible, but a rather long calculation involving appropriate combinations of these equations and their derivatives allows finally to prove that no solution exists in this subcase.

Subcase b3)

In this subcase we have $\dot{h} = ah$ and equation (34) gives the three equations

$$\Sigma_1(x) + a\Sigma_4(x) = 0,$$
 $\Sigma_2(x) + a\Sigma_5(x) = 0,$ $\Sigma_3(x) = 0,$

where now, taking into account that h_0 and c vanish and $\epsilon = 1$, we have

$$\Sigma_{3} = \frac{P^{2}F^{2}}{Q^{2}} \left(Rg + \frac{P'}{P}g' \right) \left(Ag + B - Rg - \frac{P'}{P}g' \right) = 0,$$

$$\Sigma_{2} + a\Sigma_{5} = \left(Ag + B - Rg - \frac{P'}{P}g' \right) \left(\frac{P^{2}F^{2}}{Q^{2}}R + 2a^{2} + 2ab \right) + \left(Rg + \frac{P'}{P}g' \right) \left(\frac{P^{2}F^{2}}{Q^{2}} (A - R) - 2ab \frac{P^{2}F^{2}}{Q^{2}} + 2ab + 2a^{2} \right) = 0,$$

$$\Sigma_{1} + a\Sigma_{4} = A \left(\frac{P^{2}F^{2}}{Q^{2}}R + 2a^{2} + 2ab \right) - \frac{P^{2}F^{2}}{Q^{2}} (R + ab)^{2} = 0.$$
(38)

We now make an analysis similar to that made in the previous subcase. When the first factor in Σ_3 vanishes but the second one is different from zero, it can be seen from the other two equations (which take a much simpler form) that, necessarily

$$\frac{P^2F^2}{Q^2} = 2\frac{a+b}{b}. (39)$$

This equation allows us to see after little effort that the system of five differential equations for the three functions P(x), Q(x) and F(x) is incompatible.

When the second factor in Σ_3 vanishes while the first one is not zero, it can be found again from the other two equations the relation (39). In this case, however, the system of equations has the general solution

$$\dot{h} = ah,$$
 $g'' = -a^2g,$ $Q = Q_0,$ $F = \frac{Q_0}{P},$ $b = -2a,$

where Q_0 is a non-vanishing arbitrary constant and P(x) is an arbitrary positive function of x. This is not, however, a perfect-fluid solution of Einstein's field equations as can be seen from the fact that its Einstein tensor does not have a timelike eigenvector.

Finally, we need to consider the situation when both factors in Σ_3 vanish. As in the previous subsection, this is the most difficult case because no relation of type (39) can be extracted directly from the other two equations. After a long calculation which involves the third relation in (38) as well as the equations (31), (33) together with the vanishing of both factors in brackets of Σ_3 , it can be proven that there only exists solution when the function g is a constant. We can redefine h with this constant and set g = 0. Then, from expressions (38) we learn that Σ_3 and $\Sigma_2 + a\Sigma_5$ vanish identically. Einstein's field equations for Q, P and F are now

$$\frac{P'}{P} \frac{P^2 F^2}{Q^2} + \frac{F'}{F} = 0,$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{Q''}{Q} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q' F'}{QF} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{P'^2}{P^2} \frac{F^2 P^2}{Q^2} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{F'^2}{F^2} + \frac{b^2}{2} - \frac{b^2}{4} \frac{P^2 F^2}{Q^2} - a^2 = 0,$$

$$\left(\frac{Q' F'}{QF} + \frac{P'^2}{P^2} \frac{P^2 F^2}{Q^2} + \frac{F''}{F} - \frac{F'^2}{F^2} + 4a(a+b) + 2b^2 \frac{P^2 F^2}{Q^2}\right) \left(\frac{F''}{F} - \frac{Q' F'}{QF} + b^2 \frac{P^2 F^2}{Q^2}\right)$$

$$= \frac{P^2 F^2}{Q^2} \left(\frac{P''}{P} + 2 \frac{P' F'}{PF} - \frac{Q' P'}{QP} - 2b(a+b)\right)^2.$$
(40)

The line-element is

$$ds^{2} = e^{-2ay} \left[-F(x) \left(e^{-by} dt + P(x) d\phi \right)^{2} + \frac{Q^{2}(x)}{F(x)} d\phi^{2} + dx^{2} + dy^{2} \right]$$
(41)

and the pressure and density are given by the expressions

$$p = e^{2ay} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{Q'F'}{QF} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{P'^2}{P^2} \frac{P^2F^2}{Q^2} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{F'^2}{F^2} - \frac{b^2}{4} \frac{P^2F^2}{Q^2} + a^2 + 2ab + b^2 \right),$$

$$\rho = e^{2ay} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{Q'F'}{QF} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{P'^2}{P^2} \frac{P^2F^2}{Q^2} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{F'^2}{F^2} + \frac{3b^2}{4} \frac{P^2F^2}{Q^2} + a^2 - b^2 \right).$$

Now, the conformal Killing vector field becomes an homothetic vector and therefore, in case an equation of state exists, it must be a linear relation between the pressure and the density $p = (\gamma + 1) \rho$, which in this case reads

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{Q'F'}{QF} + \frac{1}{4}\frac{P'^2}{P^2}\frac{P^2F^2}{Q^2} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{F'^2}{F^2} + b^2\frac{3\gamma + 4}{4\gamma}\frac{P^2F^2}{Q^2} + a^2 - b^2 - \frac{2b}{\gamma}(a+b) = 0.$$

It is not difficult to find the most general solution of (40) satisfying this equation of state (and not leading to more symmetry in the spacetime), which is given by

$$P(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{Q^2}{Q^2 - \beta^2}, \qquad F(x) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left(Q^2 - \beta^2 \right), \qquad b = -2a,$$

where α and β are arbitrary positive constants and the function Q(x) is restricted to satisfy the differential equation

$$\frac{Q''}{Q} + \frac{2a^2}{\beta^2} \left(Q^2 - \beta^2 \right) = 0.$$

It can be easily checked that the Killing vector ∂_{ϕ} , as well as ∂_t , is timelike everywhere, and in fact, it can be seen that no linear combination of these two Killing vectors vanishes at any point of the spacetime. Therefore, this solution is not axially symmetric. In consequence, the coordinate ϕ is not an angle variable and we will replace it for T. Performing the change of variables given by

$$dx = \frac{dX}{\sqrt{\delta^2 - \frac{a^2 X^2}{\beta^2} (X^2 - 2\beta^2)}}, \qquad Y = \frac{1}{a} e^{-ay}$$

where δ is an arbitary constant, the metric line-element takes the final form

$$ds^{2} = \frac{\alpha\beta}{a^{2}Y^{2}}dt^{2} - \frac{X^{2}}{\alpha\beta}\left(aYdT + \frac{\alpha}{aY}dt\right)^{2} + \frac{a^{2}Y^{2}dX^{2}}{\delta^{2} - \frac{a^{2}X^{2}}{\beta^{2}}(X^{2} - 2\beta^{2})} + dY^{2}.$$
 (42)

Even though the two Killing vector fields of this solution, ∂_T and ∂_t , are timelike everywhere, there obviously exists a linear combination of them which is spacelike at any point of the spacetime. However, no Killing vector in this solution is globally spacelike. In the study of the exact solutions with a two-dimensional group of isometries acting on timelike surfaces, the starting point is usually to assume a timelike and a spacelike Killing vector (see [9]). While this is obviously true locally, the previous solution shows that sometimes it might be interesting to consider two different timelike Killing vectors form the very beginning in order to find some of the exact solutions with a G_2 acting on T_2 . The fluid velocity vector of this solution is given by

$$\vec{u} = \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{X^2 - \beta^2}} \frac{aY}{\sqrt{\alpha\beta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

while the pressure and density are

$$p = \frac{1}{\beta^2 Y^2} \left(\beta^2 - Q^2 \right), \qquad \qquad \rho = -3 \frac{1}{\beta^2 Y^2} \left(\beta^2 - Q^2 \right)$$

so that the equation of state is again $\rho + 3p = 0$. Finally, this solution is Petrov type D with the two repeated principal null directions given by

$$\vec{l} = -\frac{\sqrt{\alpha\beta}}{X}\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \pm \sqrt{\delta^2 - \frac{a^2X^2}{\beta^2}(X^2 - 2\beta^2)}\frac{\partial}{\partial X},$$

and therefore, the fluid velocity does not lie in the two-plane generated by the two principal null directions. Although Kramer [4] studied the general solution of Einstein's field equations admitting two commuting Killing vectors and a conformal Killing vector with the Lie algebra given in case II and with the velocity vector proportional to one of the Killings, he surprisingly did not find this solution we have just presented.

We have therefore found the most general solution of non-convective stationary and axisymmetric perfect-fluid metrics admitting a three-dimensional proper conformal group generated by a Lie algebra of type II in (1) *only* for the case when the Ansatz of separation of variables (30) holds. The general case will be treated elsewhere.

6 Case III

All tensor quantities will be written throughout this section in the orthonormal tetrad

$$\boldsymbol{\theta^0} = \frac{1}{\Psi} \sqrt{F} dt, \quad \boldsymbol{\theta^1} = \frac{1}{\Psi} \frac{Q}{\sqrt{F}} \left(d\phi + (P - by) dt \right), \quad \boldsymbol{\theta^2} = \frac{1}{\Psi} dx, \quad \boldsymbol{\theta^3} = \frac{1}{\Psi} dy,$$

which is adapted to the line-element (6).

The Einstein equations (9) and (8) are, respectively

$$-\frac{b}{4}\Psi \frac{P'Q^2}{F^2} + \Psi_{,xy} = 0,$$

$$\Psi \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{F'Q'}{FQ} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{F'^2}{F^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q''}{Q} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{P'^2Q^2}{F^2} - \frac{b^2}{4} \frac{Q^2}{F^2} \right) + \Psi_{,xx} - \Psi_{,yy} = 0.$$

As in the previous case, these two partial differential equations have the structure

$$\Psi_{,xy} = H(x)\Psi,$$

$$\Psi_{,yy} - \Psi_{,xx} = G(x)\Psi,$$

where again H(x) and G(x) stand for the expressions readable from the equations above. In consequence, the considerations made in the previous section hold in this case. We will not consider the general case but we will assume the same Ansatz of the previous section: expression H(x) vanishes. The equations are now

$$\Psi(x,y) = g(x) + h(y), \quad g'' = -\epsilon a^2 g + c, \quad \ddot{h} = \epsilon a^2 h + c, \quad P' = 0,$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{F'Q'}{FQ} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{F'^2}{F^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q''}{Q} - \frac{b^2}{4} \frac{Q^2}{F^2} - \epsilon a^2 = 0,$$
(43)

where as usual ϵ is a sign and a and c are arbitrary constants. The fact that the P becomes a constant allows us to set P=0 by redefining the coordinate ϕ in a way analogous to (26). With P=0 the relevant components of the Einstein tensor take

the form

$$\frac{1}{\Psi}\left(S_{00} + S_{33}\right) = \Psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{F''}{F} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{F'Q'}{FQ} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{F'^2}{F^2} + 2\epsilon a^2\right) + 2g'' - g'\frac{F'}{F},\tag{44}$$

$$\frac{1}{\Psi}(S_{11} - S_{22}) = \Psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{F''}{F} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{F'Q'}{FQ} - \frac{b^2}{2}\frac{Q^2}{F^2}\right) - 2g'' + \left(2\frac{Q'}{Q} - \frac{F'}{F}\right)g', \qquad (45)$$

$$\frac{1}{\Psi}S_{01} = -b\frac{Q}{F}\dot{h}.$$

For further manipulation of these expressions we will put

$$\frac{1}{\Psi}\left(S_{00} + S_{33}\right) = Z_1(x)\Psi(x,y) + Z_2(x), \qquad \frac{1}{\Psi}\left(S_{11} - S_{22}\right) = V_1(x)\Psi(x,y) + V_2(x),$$

where the symbols Z_1 , Z_2 , V_1 and V_2 can be read explicitly from expressions (44) and (45). The Einstein equation (7) can be seen equivalent to the following three ordinary differential equations

$$Z_1 V_1 = \epsilon a^2 b^2 \frac{Q^2}{F^2}, \qquad Z_1 V_2 + Z_2 V_1 = 2b^2 \frac{Q^2}{F^2} \left(c - \epsilon a^2 g \right),$$

$$Z_2 V_2 = b^2 \frac{Q^2}{F^2} \left(\epsilon a^2 g^2 - 2cg + h_0 \right), \tag{46}$$

where we have used the usual expression for \dot{h}^2 , consequence of the differential equation for h(y). We must now distinguish, as in previous sections, between two cases depending on whether the function g is a constant (which can be set equal to zero by including it into h) or not. Let us begin by considering this last situation.

Case $g' \neq 0$

We are now going to rewrite the system (46) in a simpler form. In order to do this we have to separate the cases when the constant a vanishes or not.

When $a \neq 0$ it follows from the first equation in the system that Z_1 and V_1 are both different from zero. Dividing the second equation by the first and taking into account that the constant c can be set equal to zero redefining the functions g and h by an additive constant (keeping g + h invariant), we find $\frac{V_2}{V_1} + \frac{Z_2}{Z_1} = -2g$. Dividing the third equation by the first one, we also have $\frac{V_2}{V_1} \frac{Z_2}{Z_1} = g^2 + \frac{h_0}{\epsilon a^2}$. Thus, $\frac{V_2}{V_1}$ and $\frac{Z_2}{Z_1}$ are the two solutions of the quadratic algebraic equation $(X + g)^2 = -\frac{\epsilon h_0}{a^2}$ for the unknown X, from where it follows that $-\epsilon h_0$ must be postive or zero. Defining a constant n by means of $h_0 \equiv -\epsilon a^2 n^2$, we have found that equations (46) are equivalent, in the case $a \neq 0$, to the system

$$Z_1 V_1 = \epsilon a^2 b^2 \frac{Q^2}{F^2}, \quad Z_2 + (g+n) Z_1 = 0, \quad V_2 + (g-n) V_1 = 0,$$
 (47)

which is much simpler because two of the equations are linear in the second derivatives while in the previous system (46) all equations were quadratic. Then, we have in this case that the two functions Q(x) and F(x) must satisfy the four differential equations given by (43) and (47). It is not very difficult to see that this system of four second order differential equations for two unknowns is incompatible. The proof involves a very long calculation which combines the equations under consideration together with their derivatives. The details of this calculation will be omitted here.

When the constant a vanishes, the system (46) is even simpler to handle because from the first equation it follows that either $Z_1 = 0$ or $V_1 = 0$. Again, the two unknowns Q(x) and F(x) must satisfy four ordinary differential equations. A simpler but still long calculation shows that these four differential equations are incompatible and that no solution for Q(x) and F(x) exists.

Therefore, we have that in the subcase $(g' \neq 0)$ no solutions of the Einstein's field equations exist. We must then study the case when g is a constant.

Case g' = 0

We already know that the constant g can be made zero by adding it to the function h(y) and it follows from the equation for g that the constant c vanishes in this case. In consequence, the expressions $Z_2(x)$ and $V_2(x)$ vanish identically and the equations (46) (one of them is identically satisfied) take the form

$$Z_1 V_1 = \epsilon a^2 b^2 \frac{Q^2}{F^2}, \qquad 0 = h_0 b^2 \frac{Q^2}{F^2}.$$

Thus, the constant h_0 vanishes so that $\epsilon = 1$ and the function h(y) is the solution of the differential equation $\dot{h} = ah \Longrightarrow h = e^{ay}$.

The differential equations for the functions Q(x) and F(x) reduce simply to

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{F'Q'}{FQ} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{F'^2}{F^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q''}{Q} - \frac{b^2}{4} \frac{Q^2}{F^2} - a^2 = 0,$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{F''}{F} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{F'Q'}{FQ} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{F'^2}{F^2} + 2a^2\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{F''}{F} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{F'Q'}{FQ} - \frac{b^2}{2} \frac{Q^2}{F^2}\right) = a^2 b^2 \frac{Q^2}{F^2},$$
(48)

and the metric line-element is

$$ds^{2} = e^{-2ay} \left[-F(x)dt^{2} + \frac{Q^{2}(x)}{F(x)} \left(d\phi - bydt \right)^{2} + dx^{2} + dy^{2} \right]. \tag{49}$$

The pressure and energy density of the perfect fluid are

$$p = e^{2ay} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{F'Q'}{FQ} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{F'^2}{F^2} - \frac{b^2}{4} \frac{Q^2}{F^2} + a^2 \right),$$

$$\rho = e^{2ay} \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{F'Q'}{FQ} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{F'^2}{F^2} + \frac{3b^2}{4} \frac{Q^2}{F^2} + a^2 \right).$$

Given that the conformal Killing vector is homothetic in this case, we know that the equation of state, in case it exists, should be a linear relation $p = (\gamma + 1)\rho$, where γ is some constant. In our situation this linear equation of state reads

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{F'Q'}{FQ} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{F'^2}{F^2} + a^2 + \frac{3\gamma + 4}{4\gamma}b^2\frac{Q^2}{F^2} = 0.$$

It is not difficult to see that no solution of the system (48) satisfies this last differential equation and therefore, unfortunately, the perfect-fluid solution given by the solution to (48) does not have any equation of state.

The same considerations made at the end of the previous section hold in this case. We have not found the most general stationary and axisymmetric solution for a non-convective perfect-fluid source with a proper conformal Killing vector satisfying the Lie algebra given by Case III in (1), but we have proven that, under the assumption (30) of separation of variables, the general solution is given by (48). In this solution the conformal Killing is in fact homothetic and there never exists an equation of state.

To finish we will summarize the main results obtained in this paper in the following table where the solutions of Einstein's field equations for a stationary and axisymmetric space-time (or more generally a G_2 on T_2 spacetime) with a proper conformal motion and filled with a non-convective perfect fluid are written down.

Abelian Case	$\Psi_{,x} \neq 0$	Schwarzschild interior solution
	$\Psi_{,x} \neq 0$ $\Psi_{,x} = 0$	Solution in [2]
Case I	$\Psi_{,x} \neq 0$	Static solutions
	$\Psi_{,x} = 0$	Solution (27)
Case II	Ψ non-separable	?
	Ψ separable	$\Psi_{,x} \neq 0 \mid G_4 \text{ on } T_3 \text{ solution}$
		$\Psi_{,x} = 0$ Solution (40)-(41) including (42)
Case III	Ψ non-separable	?
	Ψ separable	$\Psi_{,x} \neq 0$ No solutions
		$\Psi_{,x} = 0 \text{Solution (48)-(49)}$

Acknowledgements

M. Mars wishes to thank the *Direcció General d'Universitats, Generalitat de Catalunya*, for financial support.

All the tensors in this paper have been computed with the algebraic computer programs CLASSI and REDUCE. All the very long calculations involved in the proofs

of incompatibility of differential equations have been performed with the essential help of REDUCE.

References

- [1] Senovilla, J. M. M., Class. Quantum Grav. 4 (1987) L115-L119
- [2] Senovilla, J. M. M., Class. Quantum Grav. 9 (1992) L167-L169
- [3] Castejón-Amenedo, J., Coley A. A., Class. Quantum Grav. 9 (1992) 2203-2215
- [4] Kramer, D. Proceedings of the 3rd Hungarian Relativity Workshop (Nova Science Publishers) (1989)
- [5] Kramer, D., Gen. Rel. Grav. **22** (1990) 1157–1162
- [6] Kramer, D., Carot, J., J. Math. Phys. **32** (1991) 1857–1860
- [7] Mars, M., Senovilla J. M. M., Class. Quantum Grav. 10 (1993) 1633-1647
- [8] Senovilla, J. M. M. in *Rotating Objects and Relativistic Physics*, F.J. Chinea and L.M. González-Romero, eds., Lecture Notes in Physics **423**, Springer-Verlag (Berlin) (1993).
- [9] Kramer, D., Stephani, H., Herlt E., MacCallum, M., (1980) Exact solutions of Einstein's field equations (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge).